

Anaphoric Operators and Expressivity in Intensional Languages

Thomas Ede Zimmermann, Frankfurt

Joint work with Jan Köpping

Logik Kolloquium Universität Konstanz, November 19, 2018

1 Introduction

1.1 Examples

- (1) $\diamond\diamond(\varphi \wedge \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\psi)$
- (2) $\diamond(\psi \wedge \diamond\varphi)$
- (3) $\diamond(\forall x)[\mathbf{\Gamma}_0(Q(x)) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
- (4) Every man who ever₁ supported the Vietnam War will₂ have to admit¹ that now_c he believes² that he was an idiot then₁. (Saarinen, 1979, 343)
- (5)
 - a. $(\forall x)[M_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{t}' < \mathbf{t})[S_{w,\mathbf{t}'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists \mathbf{t}'' > \mathbf{t})$
 $(\forall w')[A_{w,\mathbf{t}''}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[B_{w',\mathbf{t}_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow I_{w'',\mathbf{t}'}(x)]]]]]$
 - b. $(\forall x)[M_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{t}' < \mathbf{t})[S_{w,\mathbf{t}'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists \mathbf{t}'' > \mathbf{t}')$
 $(\forall w')[A_{w,\mathbf{t}''}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[B_{w',\mathbf{t}_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow I_{w'',\mathbf{t}'}(x)]]]]]$
 - c. $(\forall x)[M_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{t}' < \mathbf{t})[S_{w,\mathbf{t}'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists \mathbf{t}'' > \mathbf{t}_c)$
 $(\forall w')[A_{w,\mathbf{t}''}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[B_{w',\mathbf{t}_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow I_{w'',\mathbf{t}'}(x)]]]]]$

1.2 Setting the stage

- Relevant factors when it comes to comparing expressivity:

- (a) the formal languages to which the formulae compared belong;
- (b) the choice of the determinants of denotation;
- (c) the relevant notions of denotation to be preserved in that comparison.

- (6) $\Box\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond\Box p$
- (7) $[(\forall i_1)[i_0 Ri_1 \rightarrow (\exists i_2)[i_1 Ri_2 \wedge P(i_2)]] \rightarrow$
 $(\exists i_1)[i_0 Ri_1 \wedge (\forall i_2)[i_1 Ri_2 \rightarrow P(i_2)]]]$
- (8) $(\forall i_0) i_0 Ri_0$
- (9) $(\forall p)[\Box p \vee \Box \neg p]$
- (10) $(\forall P)[(\forall i_0)P(i_0) \vee (\forall i_0)\neg P(i_0)]$
- (11) φ is *true throughout* a frame (W, R) iff φ is true in all models (W, R, V, w) .
- (12) φ is *true in* a context c iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M,c,i_c} = 1$.
- (13) $\llbracket \mathbf{P}\varphi \rrbracket^{(w,t,\dots),g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{(w,t',\dots),g} = 1$, for some $t' < t$

- (14) a. $(\exists t_1)[t_0 S t_1 \wedge \varphi[t_0/t_1]]$ where $\llbracket xSy \rrbracket^g = 1$ iff $g(y) < g(x)$
 b. $(\exists i_1)[i_0 R i_1 \wedge \varphi[i_0/i_1]]$
 where $\llbracket xRy \rrbracket^g = 1$ iff $g(x)_1 = g(y)_1$ and $g(y)_2 < g(x)_2$
- (15) Every horse neighs.
- (16) a. $(\forall x)[H(x) \rightarrow N(x)]$
 b. $\lambda P.(\forall x)[H(x) \rightarrow P(x)]$
 c. $\lambda Q.\lambda P.(\forall x)[Q(x) \rightarrow P(x)]$
- (17) a. $[\lambda i_0.[\lambda i_1.[i_0 = i_1]]]$
 b. $[\wedge[\lambda F.[F = \lambda p.\vee p]](\lambda p.\vee p)]$

2 Intensional Type Logic

2.1 Syntax and Semantics of (Tensed) Intensional Type Logic

Montague (1970, 1973)

- (18) *Intensional Types*
 Let e , t , and s be some fixed distinct objects. Then T_{IL} is the smallest set satisfying the following conditions:
 a. $t \in T_{IL}$; $e \in T_{IL}$;
 b. if $\sigma, \tau \in T_{IL}$, then $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \in T_{IL}$;
 c. if $\tau \in T_{IL}$, then $\langle s, \tau \rangle \in T_{IL}$.
- (19) Basic Terms of IL
 a. Con_τ is the (denumerably infinite) set of all non-logical constants of type $\tau \in T_{IL}$;
 b. Var_τ is the (denumerably infinite) set of all variables of type $\tau \in T_{IL}$.
- (20) The family $(IL_\tau)_{\tau \in T_{IL}}$ of IL -terms
 a. If $\mathbf{c} \in Con_\tau$, then $\mathbf{c} \in IL_\tau$;
 b. If $x \in Var_\tau$, then $x \in IL_\tau$;
 c. If $\alpha, \beta \in IL_\tau$, then $[\alpha = \beta] \in IL_t$;
 d. If $x \in Var_\sigma$ and $\alpha \in IL_\tau$, then $[\lambda x.\alpha] \in IL_{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$;
 e. If $\alpha \in IL_{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ and $\beta \in IL_\sigma$, then $\alpha(\beta) \in IL_\tau$;
 f. If $\alpha \in IL_\tau$, then $[\wedge \alpha] \in IL_{\langle s, \tau \rangle}$;
 g. If $\alpha \in IL_{\langle s, \tau \rangle}$ then $[\vee \alpha] \in IL_\tau$.
- (21) Domains
 a. $D_e = D$, a (fixed and non-empty) set of (possible) individuals
 b. $D_t = \{0, 1\}$
 c. $D_{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle} = D_\tau^{D_\sigma}$
 d. $D_{\langle s, \tau \rangle} = D_\tau^{(W \times T)}$, where W and T are (fixed and non-empty) sets of worlds and times.

- Interpretations F (according to models M) and assignments g :
 - $F_M(\mathbf{c}) : (W \times T) \rightarrow D_\tau$, for any $\tau \in T_{IL}$ and $c \in Con_\tau$;
 - $g(x) \in D_\tau$, for any $\tau \in T_{IL}$ and $x \in Var_\tau$.

- (22) Denotations of *IL*-terms
- $\llbracket \mathbf{c} \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = F(\mathbf{c})(w, t)$, if $\mathbf{c} \in \text{Con}_\tau$;
 - $\llbracket x \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = g(x)$ if $x \in \text{Var}_\tau$;
 - $\llbracket [\alpha = \beta] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}$;
 - $\llbracket [\lambda x. \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}(u) = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g[x/u]}$, for any $u \in D_\sigma$ (where $x \in \text{Var}_\sigma$);
 - $\llbracket [\alpha(\beta)] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g})$;
 - $\llbracket [\wedge \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}(w', t') = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w', t'), g}$, for any $w' \in W$ and $t' \in T$;
 - $\llbracket [\vee \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}(w, t)$.
- (23) Accessibility relations and indexical operators (in intended models M)
- $F_M(<)(w, t)(w', t') = 1$ iff $w = w'$ and $t' < t$;
 - $F_M(>)(w, t)(w', t') = 1$ iff $w = w'$ and $t < t'$;
 - $F_M(\sim_t)(w, t)(w', t') = 1$ iff $w = w'$;
 - $F_M(\sim_w)(w, t)(w', t') = 1$ iff $t = t'$;
 - $F_M(\mathbf{b})(u)(w, t)(w', t') = F_M(\mathbf{b})(u)(w, t)(w', t'')$ cf. Hintikka (1969)
 - $\llbracket \mathbf{A}\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w_c, t), g} = 1$ cf. Kaplan (1979)
 - $\llbracket \mathbf{N}\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t_c), g} = 1$ cf. Kamp (1971)
- (24) Abbreviations
- $(\forall x)\varphi$ is short for $[(\lambda x.\varphi) = (\lambda x.[x = x])]$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket (\forall x)\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, g[x/u]} = 1$, for all $u \in D_\sigma$
 - $\neg\varphi$ is short for $[\varphi = (\forall v)v]$;
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \neg\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots} = 0$
 - $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is short for $(\forall f)[\varphi = [f(\varphi) = f(\psi)]]$;
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots} = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{\dots} = 1$
 - $\Box\varphi$ is short for $([\wedge \varphi] = [\wedge (\forall x)[x = x]])$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \Box\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for all $(w', t') \in W \times T$
 - $\mathbf{L}\varphi$ is short for $[\lambda p. \Box([\vee p] \rightarrow \varphi)](\sim_w)$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{L}\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for all $w' \in W$
 - $\mathbf{P}\varphi$ is short for $[\lambda p. \Diamond([\vee p] \wedge \varphi)](<)$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{P}\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for some $t' < t$
 - $\mathbf{F}\varphi$ is short for $[\lambda p. \Diamond([\vee p] \wedge \varphi)](>)$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{F}\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for some $t' > t$
 - $\mathbf{B}_\alpha\varphi$ is short for $[\lambda p. \Box([\vee p] \rightarrow \varphi)](\mathbf{b}(\alpha))$ where $\alpha \in \text{IL}_e$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{B}_\alpha\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for all w' such that:
 $F_M(\mathbf{b})(\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g})(w, t)(w', t) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{B}_\alpha\varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\dots, (w', t'), g} = 1$, for all $w' \in \text{Dox}_{a, w, t}$
where $\text{Dox}_{a, w, t} = \{w' \in W \mid F_M(\mathbf{b})(u)(w, t)(w', t) = 1\}$ and $a = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}$
 - \exists and \mathbf{H} are short for $\neg\forall\neg$ and $\neg\mathbf{P}\neg$, respectively
 - etc.

2.2 From *IL* to *Ty2*

- (25) Standard translation of *IL* to *Ty2* cf. (Gallin, 1975, 61ff.)
- $\bar{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{c}(i_0)$, for constants \mathbf{c} ;
 - $\bar{x} = x$, for variables x ;

- c. $\overline{[\alpha = \beta]} = [\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta}]$;
- d. $\overline{\alpha(\beta)} = \overline{\alpha}(\overline{\beta})$;
- e. $\overline{[\lambda x.\alpha]} = [\lambda x.\overline{\alpha}]$;
- f. $\overline{[\wedge\alpha]} = [\lambda i_0.\overline{\alpha}]$;
- g. $\overline{[\vee\alpha]} = \overline{\alpha}(i_0)$.
- h. $\overline{\mathbf{A}\varphi} = (\exists i_1)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(i_1)(i_0) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(i_1)(c) \wedge [\lambda i_0.\overline{\varphi}](i_1)]$;
- i. $\overline{\mathbf{N}\varphi} = (\exists i_1)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(i_1)(c) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(i_1)(i_0) \wedge [\lambda i_0.\overline{\varphi}](i_1)]$

(26) *Lemma* (Gallin, 1975, 62)

Let M be an IL -model, g an appropriate assignment, $((w_c, t_c), (w, t))$ a point of reference, and M^* and g^* a $Ty2$ -model and assignment where:

$$F_M \subseteq F_{M^*}, g \subseteq g^*, g^*(c) = (w_c, t_c), \text{ and } g^*(i_0) = (w, t).$$

Then for any $\tau \in T_{IL}$ and $\alpha \in IL_\tau$:

$$[[\alpha]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g} = [[\overline{\alpha}]]^{M^*, g^*}.$$

2.3 Examples revisited

- (3) $\diamond(\forall x)[\mathbf{B}_0(Q(x)) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
- (27) $(\exists i_1)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(i_1)(i_0) \wedge (\forall x)[Q_{i_0}(x) \rightarrow Q_{i_1}(x)]]$
- (28) $[\lambda \mathcal{R}.\diamond(\forall x)[\mathcal{R}(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]](Q)$
- (4) Every man who ever supported the Vietnam War will have to admit that now he believes that he was an idiot then.
- (5a) $(\forall x)[\mathbf{M}_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall t' < t)[\mathbf{S}_{w,t'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists t'' > t)(\forall w')[\mathbf{A}_{w,t'}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[\mathbf{B}_{w',t_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{w'',t'}(x)]]]]]$
- (29) $[\lambda \mathcal{O}_2.[\lambda \mathcal{O}_1.(\forall x)[M(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1(\wedge S(x) \rightarrow [\lambda q.\mathcal{O}_2(\wedge \mathbf{B}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\vee q \wedge I(x))))](\sim_{\mathbf{t}})))]](\lambda p.\mathbf{H}^\vee p)](\lambda q.\mathbf{F}^\vee q)$
- (5a) $(\forall x)[\mathbf{M}_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall t' < t)[\mathbf{S}_{w,t'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists t'' > t')(\forall w')[\mathbf{A}_{w,t'}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[\mathbf{B}_{w',t_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{w'',t'}(x)]]]]]$
- (30) $[\lambda \mathcal{O}.\overline{(\forall x)[M(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\wedge S(x) \rightarrow [\lambda q.\mathbf{FB}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\vee q \wedge I(x))))](\sim_{\mathbf{t}}))}]}](\lambda p.\mathbf{H}^\vee p)$
- (5a) $(\forall x)[\mathbf{M}_{w,t}(x) \rightarrow (\forall t' < t)[\mathbf{S}_{w,t'}(x) \rightarrow (\exists t'' > t_c)(\forall w')[\mathbf{A}_{w,t'}(x)(w') \rightarrow (\forall w'')[\mathbf{B}_{w',t_c}(x)(w'') \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{w'',t'}(x)]]]]]$
- (31) $[\lambda \mathcal{O}.\overline{(\forall x)[M(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\wedge S(x) \rightarrow [\lambda q.\mathbf{NFB}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\vee q \wedge I(x))))](\sim_{\mathbf{t}}))}]}](\lambda p.\mathbf{H}^\vee p)$

3 Adding Backwards-looking Operators to Intensional Type Logic

3.1 Implementing backwards-looking operators in IL

(32) YIL cf. Yanovich (2015)

For any type $\tau \in T_{IL}$

- a. $[[x]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = g(x)$
- b. $[[\mathbf{c}]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = F_M(\mathbf{c})(\rho(n))$
- c. $[[[\alpha = \beta]]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = 1$ iff $[[\alpha]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = [[\beta]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g}$
- d. $[[\alpha(\beta)]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = [[\alpha]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g}([[\beta]]^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{e. } \llbracket [\lambda x. \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g}(u) = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g[x/u]} \\
& \text{f. } \llbracket [\wedge \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g}(i) = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho[n+1/i], n+1, g} \\
& \text{g. } \llbracket [\vee \alpha] \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g(\rho(n))} \\
& \text{h. } \llbracket \mathbf{\Sigma}_r^l \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho[n+1/(\rho(l)_1, \rho(r)_2)], n+1, g} \\
& \text{i. } \llbracket \mathbf{N} \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho[n+1/(\rho(n)_1, t_c)], n+1, g} \\
& \text{j. } \llbracket \mathbf{A} \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho[n+1/(w_c, \rho(n)_2)], n+1, g} \\
(33) \quad & \text{a. } \llbracket \mathbf{\Sigma}_r^l \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \wedge \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g(\rho(l)_1, \rho(r)_2)} \\
& \text{b. } \llbracket \mathbf{N} \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \wedge \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g(\rho(n)_1, t_c)} \\
& \text{c. } \llbracket \mathbf{A} \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g} = \llbracket \wedge \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g(w_c, \rho(n)_2)} \\
(34) \quad & (\forall x)[\mathbf{M}(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S}(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}_0^0(\mathbf{FB}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^6(\mathbf{I}(x)))))))] \quad (\equiv (29)) \\
(35) \quad & (\forall x)[M(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(S(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{FB}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^5(I(x))))))] \quad (\equiv (30)) \\
(36) \quad & (\forall x)[M(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(S(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{NFB}_x(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{B}_x(\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^6(I(x))))))] \quad (\equiv (31))
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 From *YIL* to *IL*

(37) *Theorem*
Let M, M^*, g, g^* and (w_c, t_c) be as in (26), and let ρ be a sequence of indices (in $W \times T$) such that $\rho(k) = g^*(i_k)$ for any k .

a. If $\tau \in T_{IL}$, $\alpha \in YIL_\tau$, and $g^*(i_0) = g^*(c)$, then:

$$\llbracket Z_0(\alpha) \rrbracket^{M^*, g^*} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, 0, g}.$$

b. If $\varphi \in YIL_t$, then:

$$\llbracket Z_0(\varphi) \rrbracket^{M^*, g^*} = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, 0, g}.$$

(38) *Corollary*

a. For any $\alpha \in YIL_\tau$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = 0$, there is a $\gamma \in IL_\tau$ such that for any $M, (w_c, t_c), g$, and ρ :

$$\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, 0, g} = \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w_c, t_c), g}.$$

b. For any $\varphi \in YIL_t$ there is a $\psi \in IL_t$ such that for any $M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g$, and ρ :

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, 0, g} = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), (w, t), g}.$$

(39) *Translation*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{a. } Z_n(x) = x \\
& \text{b. } Z_n(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c}(i_n) \\
& \text{c. } Z_n([\alpha = \beta]) = [Z_n(\alpha) = Z_n(\beta)] \\
& \text{d. } Z_n(\alpha(\beta)) = Z_n(\alpha)(Z_n(\beta)) \\
& \text{e. } Z_n([\lambda x. \alpha]) = (\lambda x. Z_n(\alpha)) \\
& \text{f. } Z_n([\wedge \alpha]) = (\lambda i_{n+1}. Z_{n+1}(\alpha)) \\
& \text{g. } Z_n([\vee \alpha]) = Z_n(\alpha)(i_n) \\
& \text{h. } Z_n(\mathbf{\Sigma}_r^l \varphi) = [\lambda p. (\exists j)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(j)(i_l) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(j)(i_r) \wedge p(j)]](Z_n(\wedge \varphi)) \\
& \quad = [\lambda p. (\exists j)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(j)(i_l) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(j)(i_r) \wedge p(j)]](\lambda i_{n+1}. Z_{n+1}(\varphi)) \\
& \text{i. } Z_n(\mathbf{N} \varphi) = [\lambda p. (\exists j)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(j)(i_n) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(j)(c) \wedge p(j)]](\lambda i_{n+1}. Z_{n+1}(\varphi)) \\
& \text{j. } Z_n(\mathbf{A} \varphi) = [\lambda p. (\exists j)[\sim_{\mathbf{w}}(j)(c) \wedge \sim_{\mathbf{t}}(j)(i_n) \wedge p(j)]](\lambda i_{n+1}. Z_{n+1}(\varphi))
\end{aligned}$$

$$(40) \quad Z_0(\alpha) \equiv \bar{\alpha}, \text{ for any } IL\text{-term } \alpha.$$

(41) *Lemma*

Let M, M^*, g, g^* and (w_c, t_c) be as in (26), and let ρ be a sequence of indices (in $W \times T$) such that $\rho(k) = g^*(i_k)$ for any k . Then for any $\tau \in T_{IL}$, $\alpha \in YIL_\tau$ and natural number n :

- $$\llbracket Z_n(\alpha) \rrbracket^{M^*, g^*} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, n, g}.$$
- (42) $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho, 0, g} = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M, (w_c, t_c), \rho(0), g}$, for any IL -term α .
- (43) *Theorem* (Zimmermann, 1989, 75)
 If $\beta \in \text{Ty}2_\tau$ meets conditions a.–c., then there is a $\gamma \in IL_\tau$ such that $\bar{\gamma}$ is logically equivalent to β .
- a. $\tau \in T_{IL}$;
 - b. if \mathbf{c} is a constant occurring in β , then \mathbf{c} is a constant of some type $\langle s, \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma \in T_{IL}$;
 - c. if x is a variable occurring freely in β , then $x \in \text{Var}_\sigma \cup \{i_0\}$ where $\sigma \in T_{IL}$.

4 Remaining issues

- Compositionality Cresswell (1990), Schlenker (2006)
- Twisted senses Zimmermann (2018)

References

- Cresswell, M. J. (1990). *Entities and Indices*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, London.
- Gallin, D. (1975). *Intensional and Higher-order Modal Logic*. North-Holland Pub. Company, Amsterdam.
- Hintikka, J. (1969). Semantics for Propositional Attitudes. In Davis, J. W., Hockney, D. J., and Wilson, W. K., editors, *Philosophical Logic*, pages 21–45. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Kamp, H. (1971). Formal properties of *now*. *Theoria*, 37:227–273.
- Kaplan, D. (1979). On the logic of demonstratives. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 8:81–98.
- Köpping, J. and Zimmermann, T. E. (2018). Looking Backwards in Type Logic. *Inquiry*, forthcoming.
- Montague, R. (1970). Universal Grammar. *Theoria*, 36:373–398.
- Montague, R. (1973). The proper treatment of quantification in ordinary English. In Suppes, P., Moravcsik, J., and Hintikka, J., editors, *Approaches to Natural Language*, pages 221–242. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Rey, D. (ms.). Multiple temporal indexing in early formal semantics. Manuscript, University of Barcelona.
- Saarinen, E. (1979). Backwards-looking operators in tense logic and in natural language. In Hintikka, J., Niiniluoto, I., and Saarinen, E., editors, *Essays on Mathematical and Philosophical Logic*, pages 341–367. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Schlenker, P. (2006). Ontological symmetry in language: A brief manifesto. *Mind & Language*, 21(4):504–539.
- Yanovich, I. (2015). Expressive power of *Now* and *Then* operators. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 24:65–93.
- Zimmermann, T. E. (1989). Intensional logic and two-sorted type theory. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 54(1):65–77.
- Zimmermann, T. E. (2018). Fregean compositionality. In Ball, D. and Rabern, B., editors, *The Science of Meaning*, pages 276–305. Oxford University Press, Oxford.