

# GLOBAL CALIFORNIA: LA UND BAJALTA

... this is work in progress ... subject to perpetual change ...

## SCHEDULE

29.10.2014	Intro und Orga-Talk
05.11.2014	Excavating Urban Postmodernity: LA as Model
12.11.2014	Circulating Urban Theory I: The Infrastructural City: Networks, Disruption and Planning
19.11.2014	Circulating Urban Theory II – Locating the Global
26.11.2014	Approaching LA – Landscapes of Global Territories
03.12.2014	Approaching LA – Landscapes of Global Territories
10.12.2014	B/ordering Bajaalta I – Border Theory
17.12.2014	B/ordering Bajaalta II – Border Practice
14.01.2015	Presenting »Projects«
21.01.2015	Presenting »Projects«
28.01.2015	Einzelgespräche ...
04.02.2015	Presenting »Projects« II

### NOV 5: EXCAVATING URBAN POSTMODERNITY: LA AS MODEL

*Dear, Michael und Flusty, Steven (1998): Postmodern urbanism. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 88 (1), S. 50-72. [Teilübersetzung in Dear, Michael (2005): Die Los Angeles School of Urbanism. Geographische Rundschau 57:1, S. 30-36.]*

Dear und Flusty fassen in diesem Paper eine Vielzahl innovativer Arbeiten zur städtischen Entwicklung von Los Angeles anderer Autoren (u.a. Davis, Soja, Scott, Sorkin u.a.) auf kreative Weise zusammen und begründen mit dem Label »LA School of Urbanism« ein neues Paradigma der Stadtforschung. Galt Los Angeles bis in die 1980er Jahre als wenig untersuchte Ausnahmerecheinung mit ihren eigenen Regeln städtischer Entwicklung, wird Los Angeles nun zum Prototypen postmoderner Stadtentwicklung im globalen Zeitalter erklärt.

Das neue Metamodell Los Angeles löst Chicago als Paradigma der modernen Stadt im Zeitalter industrieller Urbanisierung ab, die Los Angeles School of Urbanism ersetzt die Chicago School of Sociology. Selbstverständlich stößt ein so weitgehender Anspruch auf Kritik, kritisierbar ist sicherlich auch die Vielzahl an Neologismen, mit denen Dear und Flusty arbeiten. Nichtsdestotrotz stellt der Artikel eine hervorragende Einführung in die geographische Beschäftigung mit der postmodernen Stadtlandschaft Southern California (vergangener Zeiten?) dar.

#### *Aufgabe*

*Übergang von der modernen zur postmodernen Stadt sowie von der modernen zur postmodernen Stadtforschung; Identifikation der wichtigsten Entwicklungstendenzen von Los Angeles sowie Forschungsfelder zu Los Angeles; Erklärung dieser Entwicklungen; kritische Stellungnahme zum paradigmatischen Charakter von Los Angeles.*

### **Weitere Literatur**

Curry, J. and Kenney, M. 1999: The Paradigmatic City: Postindustrial Illusion and the Los Angeles School. *Antipode* 31, 1-28.

Storper, M. 1999: The Poverty of Palaeo-Leftism: A Response to Curry and Kenney. *Antipode* 31, 37-44.

Scott, A.J. 1999: Los Angeles and the LA School: A Response to Curry and Kenney. *Antipode* 31, 29-36.

Sui, D. 1999: Postmodern urbanism disrobed: or why postmodern urbanism is a dead end for urban geography. *Urban Geography* 20, 403-411.

[summing up and ending the debate] Dear M, Burrige A, Marolt P, Peters J and Seymour M (2008) Critical Responses to the Los Angeles School of Urbanism. *Urban Geography* (29)2: 101-112

Abu-Lughod, Janet L. 2011. *The City, Revisited: Urban Theory from Chicago, Los Angeles, and New York* (Univ of Minnesota Pr).

Clark, T.N. 2008: Program for a New Chicago School. *Urban Geography* 29, 154-166.

Dear, M., editor 2001: *From Chicago to L.A.: Making Sense of Urban Theory*. London: Sage.

Dear, M. 2003: The Los Angeles school of urbanism: an intellectual history. *Urban Geography* 24, 493-509.

Greene, R.P. 2008: Urban Peripheries as Organizers of what Remains of the Center: Examining the Evidence from Los Angeles and Chicago. *Urban Geography* 29, 138-153.

Lees, L. 2003: Urban geography: "New" urban geography and the ethnographic void. *Progress in Human Geography* 27, 107-113.

Nicholls, W.J. 2011: The Los Angeles School: Difference, Politics, City. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 35, 189-206.

Sampson, R.J. 2008: "After-School" Chicago: Space and the City. *Urban Geography* 29, 127-137.

Shearmur, R. 2008: Chicago and L.A.: A Clash of Epistemologies. *Urban Geography* 29, 167-176.

## **NOV 12: LOS ANGELES - THE INFRASTRUCTURAL CITY**

*Graham, Stephen und Simon Marvin. 2008. Splintering Urbanism. Infrastrukturnetzwerke, technologische Mobilität und die Bedingung des Städtischen. In: Moss, T., Neumann M. und Wissen H. (Hg.). Infrastrukturnetze und Raumentwicklung. Zwischen Universalisierung und Differenzierung. München (Oekom), S. 37-62.*

Neue Zusammenfassung der Einleitung zu Graham, Stephen und Simon Marvin. 2001. *Splintering Urbanism. Networked Infrastructures, Technological Mobilities and the Urban Condition*. London/New York (Routledge). Das Werk hat Infrastruktur wieder in die sozialwissenschaftliche Stadtforschung integriert und unter anderem die aktuelle Konzeptualisierung von Stadt als »Assemblage« angetoßen.

### Weitere Inputs zu Los Angeles:

Sloane, David. 2012. Multiplicities/Multiple-cities. In: Sloane, David (Hg.). *Planning Los Angeles*. Chicago (American Planning Association), S. 1-18.

Varnelis, Kazys und Leah Meisterlin. 2009. The Infrastructural City: Networked Ecologies in Los Angeles (Actar Coac Assn of Catalan Arc).

## **NOV 19: LOCATING THE URBAN: GLOBAL ASSEMBLAGES, URBAN ASSEMBLAGES**

*Aim: Post-Post-modernising LA, locating LA's territorial present in a global future: Infrastructural City, Splintering Urbanism, Mobile Urbanism, Worlding Cities, Ordinary Cities, Urban Assemblages, Planetary Urbanization, Global Suburbanism, Austerity Urbanism etc.*

McFarlane, Colin. 2011. The city as assemblage: dwelling and urban space. In: Environment and Planning D: Society and Space, 29:4, S. 649-671.

*(Hier interessiert das Konzept und die Art und Weise, wie man es in Feldforschung methodisch umsetzt; die konkreten empirischen Bezüge (Sao Paulo und Mumbai) sind weniger relevant).*

McCann Eugene and Ward Kevin. 2010. Relationality/territoriality: Toward a conceptualization of cities in the world. Geoforum 41(2): 175–184.

*(Hinweis auf policy mobilities, auf Raumbegriffe und konkrete Beispiele BID und New Urbanism – v.a. Downtown).*

Collier, Stephen J. und Aihwa Ong. 2005. Global Assemblages, Anthropological Problems. In: Ong, Aihwa und Stephen J. Collier (Hg.). Global assemblages : technology, politics, and ethics as anthropological problems. Malden, MA (Blackwell).

Collier, Stephen J. 2006. Global Assemblages. In: Theory Culture Society, 23:2-3, S. 398-401.

*(Was sind »Global Form«, »Global Assemblages« und »Anthropological Problems«?)*

Thrift, Nigel, Adam Tickell und Steve Woolgar. 2014. Introduction: Respecifying Globalization. An Editorial Essay for Globalization in Practice. In: Thrift, Nigel, Adam Tickell, Steve Woolgar und William H. Rupp (Hg.). Globalization in Practice (Oxford University Press), S. 1-15.

*(Warum und wie werden Objekte und Praktiken für Globalisierungsforschung relevant?)*

## **APPROACHING LA – LANDSCAPES OF GLOBAL TERRITORIES & »PROJECTS«**

a first selection ...to be continued ... please add

1. Skid Row vs. Broadway: Downtown redevelopment, Gentrification and the Adaptive Reuse Ordinance
2. The infrastructural city: Roads and pipes
3. Pictured City: Hollywood and the film industry in a digital age
4. New Economic Spaces and the clustering of the movie industry
5. Sanctuary Cities. Latino Identity, Migration and Migration Policies
6. Los Angeles del Este: The fight for an independent Mexican city in LA.
7. Urban Gardening/Community Garden: Neoliberal governance, diversity and subsistence
8. Cultural Industries and LA Fashion
9. Garment Industry: Sweatshops and the new californian design
10. The new culture of organizing. Unionization of Carwash workers and justice for janitors

11. Securing Circulation at the Port: Containerisation and Territories of Global Circulation
12. The bordered everything: Gated communities and other voluntary ghettos
13. Slumming South Central: Touring racial segregation
14. Feeling the wave: Surfing and the making of Southern California
15. Riots in LA. 1965 and 1992. Race riot or urban rebellion?
16. The de- and reconstruction of public transport.
17. Foreclosure Paradise. Inland Empire and the Deutsche Bank in South LA
18. LA County. The world capital of homelessness
19. Water Wars. Infrastructures of Water Provision
20. LA and the myth of an unplanned city
21. Exopolis and normalizing urban sprawl
22. The military city. NASA and defence industry
23. Day Laborer. Serving the Global City
24. Ethnoburb. Diverse and Segregated. Ethnic Suburbia (Little Arabia, Monterrey Park etc.)
25. Orange County. Irvine and Mission Viejo. Planning the other city.
26. Simulacrum City. Disneyland, the grove and other urban simulations.
27. Sustainably LA. Bike Lanes, Greening LA River or Solar LA (largest feed-in-tariff-program in US).
28. Google on the beach. Digital Industries in LA
29. Inland Empire: Suburban frontiers and living in foreclosure land
30. Imperial Valley and Energy in the Desert: Geothermal Plants and Solar Farms
31. Californian Agriculture: Importing goods or importing migrant labour?

# PROJECTS AND TOPICS

## LOS ANGELES – FIRST APPROACHES

Sloane, David. 2012. Multiplicities/Multiple-cities. In: Sloane, David (Hg.). *Planning Los Angeles*. Chicago (American Planning Association;), S. 1-18.

Soja, Edward W. 2000. *Postmetropolis : critical studies of cities and regions*. Malden, MA (Blackwell Pub.). Chapter 5: An Introduction to the Conurbation of Greater Los Angeles.

## THE INFRASTRUCTURAL CITY

Los Angeles steht nicht nur aufgrund seiner städtischen Form und geographischen Lage vor besonderen infrastrukturellen Herausforderungen (beispielsweise der Wasserver- und -entsorgung), sondern besetzt auch in unserem ‚urban imaginary‘ die Ästhetik der automobilen Infrastruktur. Die fortschreitende Technisierung von Stadt und Gesellschaft rückt zwangsläufig die scheinbar banalen, neutralen Materialien der pipes, grids und zones moderner Infrastrukturnetzwerke in den Fokus von Debatten und Untersuchungen. Doch Teile bleiben immer „infra“: below, jenseits, unsichtbar.

**Orte:** Hyperion sewage treatment plant, L.A. DOT, Wilshire One

### **Einstieg:**

Varnelis, Kazys und Leah Meisterlin. 2009. *The Infrastructural City: Networked Ecologies in Los Angeles* (Actar Coac Assn of Catalan Arc).

### **Weiterführende Literatur:**

Bélangier, Pierre (2009): *Landscape as Infrastructure*. In: *Landscape Journal*, 29:1, S. 79-95.

Easterling, Keller (2014): *Extrastatecraft. The power of infrastructure space*. London/New York (Verso).

Easterling, Keller (1999): *Organization Space: Landscapes, Houses and Highways in America*. Cambridge (MIT Press).

Erie, Steven P. und Scott MacKenzie (2010): *Crown Jewels: Infrastructure and Growth*. In: Deverell, W. und G. Hise (Hg.): *A Companion to Los Angeles*. Chichester (Wiley). 216-232.

Graham, Stephen (2010) *When Infrastructure fails*. In: Graham, Stephen (Hg.): *Disrupted Cities: When Infrastructure fails*. New York/London (Routledge). S. 1-26.

Graham, Stephen und Simon Marvin (2001): *Splintering Urbanism: Networked Infrastructures, Technological Mobilities and the Urban Condition*. London/New York (Routledge).

Larkin, Brian (2013) *The Politics and Poetics of Infrastructure*. In: *Annual Review of Anthropology* 42(1). 327-343

MacKillop, Fionn und Julie-Anne Boudreau (2008): *Water and power networks and urban fragmentation in Los Angeles: Rethinking assumed mechanisms*. In: *Geoforum* 39(6). 1833-1842.

Star, Susan Leigh (1999): The Ethnography of Infrastructure: In: *American Behavioral Scientist* 43(3). 377-391.

## **B/ORDERING BAJALTA**

- Dear, Michael. 2013. *Why Walls Won't Work: Repairing the US-Mexico Divide* (Oxford Univ Pr).
- Wenzel, Uwe. 2010. Fortifying Borders? Die Immigrationspolitik. In: Schreyer, Söhnke und Jürgen Wilzewski (Hg.). *Weltmacht in der Krise. Die USA am Ende der Ära George W. Bush*. Trier (Wissenschaftlicher Verlag), S. 109-151.
- Brunet-Jailly, Emmanuel 2011. Special Section: Borders, Borderlands and Theory: An Introduction. In: *Geopolitics*, 16:1, S. 1-6.
- Casey, E. S. 2011. Border versus boundary at La Frontera. In: *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 29:3, S. 384-398.
- Elden, Stuart. 2010. Land, terrain, territory. In: *Progress in Human Geography*, 34:6, S. 799-817.
- Rouse, Roger. 1996 [1991]. *Reinventing California: Migration, identity and space*. In: Gutiérrez, David G. (Hg.). *Between Two Worlds: Mexican Immigrants in the United States*. Wilmington (Jaguar Books), S. 247-264.
- Dear, M. and Lucero, H.M. 2005: Postborder cities, postborder world: the rise of Bajaalta California. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 23, 317-321.
- Kun, Josh, Fiamma Montezemolo und Iain Chambers. 2012. *Tijuana Dreaming: Life and Art at the Global Border* (Duke Univ Pr).
- Boeckler, Marc (2012) *Borderlands*. In: Marquardt, Nadine und Verena Schreiber (Hg.) (2012): *Ortsregister: Ein Glossar zu Räumen der Gegenwart*. Bielefeld (transcript).

Monsiváis, Carlos (2003): „Where Are You Going to Be Worthier?": The Border and the Postborder“. In: M. J. Dear, G. Leclerc, J.-A. Berelowitz (Hrsg.), *Postborder city. Cultural spaces of Bajaalta California*. Routledge (New York): 33–45.

## **SANCTUARY CITIES. LATINO IDENTITY, MIGRATION AND MIGRATION POLICIES**

Möglicher Ansatzpunkt. City of Maywood (südlich von Downtown LA). (The city has been hailed as a bastion of citizen-activism in a civically disengaged region. But now, the FBI and other agencies are looking into possible conflicts of interest and other misconduct. (...) Maywood Councilman Felipe Aguirre sees his small working-class city as "the Santa Monica of the Southeast" — a place built on activism, a healthy distrust of the establishment and compassion for the less fortunate. See also »Bell Scandal« (<http://www.latimes.com/local/bell/>)

Carpio, Genevieve, Clara Irazabál und Laura Pulido. 2011. Right to the Suburb? Rethinking Lefebvre and Immigrant Activism. In: *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 33:2, S. 185-208.

Acuña, Rodolfo (1996), *Anything but Mexican. Chicanos in contemporary Los Angeles*. London u.a.: Verso.

Byrne, Jason (2012): „When Green is White: The Cultural Politics of Race, Nature and Social Exclusion in a Los Angeles Urban National Park“. *Geoforum* 43 (3): 595–611.

Cort, David A., Ken-Hou Lin und Gabriela Stevenson (2014): „Residential Hierarchy in Los Angeles: An Examination of Ethnic and Documentation Status Differences“. *Social science research* 45): 170–183.

Hise, Greg (2004): „Border City: Race and Social Distance in Los Angeles“. *American Quarterly* 56 (3): 545–558.

Parson, Don (1993): „The Search for a Centre: the Recomposition of Race, Class and Space in Los Angeles“. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 17 (2): 232–240.

## **EAST LA - INDEPENDENCE**

<http://www.cmcpllosangeles.org/>

East LA Independence Parade (Comite Mexicano Civico Patriotico)

General: The political organization of LA and LA County

[http://www.kcet.org/updaily/social\\_focus/commentary/where-we-are/civics-glossary-incorporated-unincorporated-contract-independent-county-and-municipality.html](http://www.kcet.org/updaily/social_focus/commentary/where-we-are/civics-glossary-incorporated-unincorporated-contract-independent-county-and-municipality.html)

In 2012 some residents in East LA fought for independence. East LA, currently one of several unincorporated areas within LA County should have been turned into a city. The board of supervisors of LA County denied the claim. This historical episode, the strive for Latino Independence in LA, could be a starting point to deal with a) the complicated political structure of LA County (cities, incorporated areas, unincorporated areas, contract cities etc.) and b) with history and identity of Latino LA.

For Literature see »sanctuary city«.

## **DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT: MANHATTANIZATION, REJUVENATION, GENTRIFICATION?**

Dass Los Angeles, Stadt ohne Zentrum, überhaupt eine Skyline hat, ist dem Großprojekt Bunker Hill zu verdanken, wo auf den Ruinen ehemals wohlhabender, dann prekärer Wohnsubstanz mit japanischen Investitionen in den 1980er Jahren ein Hochsicherheits-Finanzzentrum errichtet wurde, das der World City L.A. würdig ist. Derzeit läuft das Projekt Manhattanization weiter auf Hochtouren – seit Abschaffung der Community Redevelopment Agency sogar komplett unter privatwirtschaftlicher Regie.

**Ansprechpartner:** BIDs, Los Angeles Community Action Network

**Orte:** Broadway, Angels Flight, Bunker Hill, City Hall & around, Bonaventure Hotel, The Bradbury

### **Blogs:**

<http://blogdowntown.com/>

<http://www.downtownla.com/>

<http://downtownmuse.com/>

### **Einstieg:**

Marquardt, Nadine und Henning Füller (2012): „Spillover of the Private City: BIDs as a Pivot of Social Control in Downtown Los Angeles“. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 19 (2): 153–166.

### **Weiterführende Literatur:**

- Cosulich-Schwartz, Paolo (2009), *Spatial Injustice in Los Angeles. An Evaluation of Downtown L.A.'s Privately Owned Public Open Space*. Los Angeles, CA. (Senior Comprehensive Project).
- Davis, Mike (1992): „Fortress Los Angeles: The Militarization of Urban Space“. In: M. Sorkin (Hrsg.), *Variations on a Theme Park. The New American City and the End of Public Space*. Hill and Wang (New York): 154–180.
- Davis, Mike (2001): „Bunker Hill: Hollywood's Dark Shadow“. In: M. Shiel, T. Fitzmaurice (Hrsg.), *Cinema and the City*. Blackwell Publishers Ltd (Oxford, UK): 33–45.
- Flusty, Steven (2000): „Thrashing Downtown: Play as Resistance to the Spatial and Representational Regulation of Los Angeles“. *Cities* 17 (2): 149–158.
- Füller, Henning, und Nadine Marquardt (Hrsg.) (2010), *Die Sicherstellung von Urbanität. Innerstädtische Restrukturierung und soziale Kontrolle in Downtown Los Angeles*. Münster: Westfälisches Dampfboot.
- Loukaitou-Sideris, Anastasia und Gail Sansbury (1995): „Lost Streets of Bunker Hill“. *California History* 74 (4): 394.
- Marquardt, Nadine und Henning Füller (2012): „Spillover of the Private City: BIDs as a Pivot of Social Control in Downtown Los Angeles“. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 19 (2): 153–166.
- Parson, Don (1993): „'This Modern Marvel': Bunker Hill, Chavez Ravine, and the Politics of Modernism in Los Angeles“. *Southern California Quarterly* 75 (3/4): 333–350.
- Pütz, Robert (2012): „Business Improvement District“. In: N. Marquardt, V. Schreiber (Hrsg.), *Ortsregister. Ein Glossar zu Räumen der Gegenwart*. Transcript (Bielefeld): 50–56.
- Smith, Neil (2002): „New Globalism, New Urbanism: Gentrification as Global Urban Strategy“. *Antipode* 34 (3): 427–450.
- Ward, Kevin. 2006. *Policies in Motion? Urban Management and State Restructuring: The Trans-Local Expansion of Business Improvement Districts*. In: *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 30:1, S. 54–75.

## **HOMELESS IN LA – PUNITIVE GEOGRAPHIES**

Die selbsternannte Hauptstadt der Obdachlosigkeit – skid row in DTLA – ist auch das am dichtesten polizierte Stadtviertel der Welt. Im Zuge der straßenweisen Hyper-Gentrifizierung treffen die beiden Extreme der Einkommensschere hier so deutlich aufeinander wie kaum woanders im Globalen Norden. Das ‚Problem‘ wird dabei auf unterschiedlichste Art und Weise artikuliert – etwa in der Rhetorik der Kriminalprävention, der Versorgung oder der Ermächtigung.

**Ansprechpartner:** Los Angeles Community Action Network, LAHSA

**Orte:** Skid Row, Downtown Shelters/Churches/‘Hotels’

### **Einstieg:**

Clare, Steve (2012): „Here’s Why We’re Not Finding Real Solutions to Homelessness in LA“. *Progressive Planning* 192: 4–5.

Herwees, Tasbeeh (2014): „Skid Row Is Here to Stay“. <http://magazine.good.is/articles/skid-row-is-here-to-stay> (2014-11-25).

**Weiterführende Literatur:**

- Boucher, Nathalie (2012): „Going Down to the Place of Three Shadows: Journeys to and from Downtown Los Angeles' Public Spaces“. *Urbanities* 2 (2): 45–61.
- Davis, Mike (1991): „A Logic Like Hell's: Being Homeless in Los Angeles“. *UCLA Law Review* 39 (1): 325–332.
- DeVerteuil, G., J. May und J. von Mahs (2009): Complexity not collapse: recasting the geographies of homelessness in a 'punitive' age. In: *Progress in Human Geography*, 33:5, S. 646–666.
- Harcourt, Bernard E. (2005): „Policing L.A.'s Skid Row: Crime and Real Estate Redevelopment in Downtown Los Angeles. (An Experiment in Real Time)“. *The University of Chicago Legal Forum* (2005): 325–403.
- Loukaitou-Sideris, Anastasia (1993): „Privatisation of Public Open Space: The Los Angeles Experience“. *The Town Planning Review* 64 (2): 139–167.
- Marquardt, Nadine (2013): „Räume der Fürsorge: Regieren der Wohnungslosigkeit im betreuten Wohnen“. *Geographische Zeitschrift* 101(3-4): 148–165.
- Mitchell, Don (1997): „The Annihilation of Space by Law: The Roots and Implications of Anti-Homeless Laws in the United States“. *Antipode* 29 (3): 303–335.
- Reese, Ellen, Geoffrey DeVerteuil und Leanne Thach (2010): „'Weak-Center' Gentrification and the Contradictions of Containment: Deconcentrating Poverty in Downtown Los Angeles“. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 34 (2): 310–327.

## **SECURING CIRCULATION AT THE PORT: CONTAINERISATION AND TERRITORIES OF GLOBAL CIRCULATION**

Räumliche Ansatzpunkte? Links?

- Bonacich, Edna and Jake B. Wilson (2008) *Getting the goods. Ports, labor, and the logistics revolution*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Cowen, Deborah (2010): *A Geography of Logistics: Market Authority and the Security of Supply Chains*. In *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 100(3), pp. 600–620.
- Cowen, Deborah (2010): *Containing Insecurity: Logistics Space, U.S. Port Cities, and the "War on Terror"*. In Stephen Graham (Ed.): *Disrupted cities. When infrastructure fails*. New York: Routledge, pp. 69–83.
- Cowen, Deborah (2014): *Disrupting Distribution: Subversion, the Social Factory, and the "State" of Supply Chains*. In *Viewpoint Magazine*. Available online at <http://viewpointmag.com/2014/10/29/disrupting-distribution-subversion-the-social-factory-and-the-state-of-supply-chains/>, checked on 10/31/2014.
- Cowen, Deborah and Neil Smith (2009): *After Geopolitics? From the Geopolitical Social to Geoeconomics*. In *Antipode* 41(1), pp. 22–48.
- Heins, Matthew (2014): *Globalizing the Nation-State: The Shipping Container and American Infrastructure*. In *Mobilities*, pp. 1–18.
- Jaffee, David (2010): *Labor and the Geographic Reorganization of Container Shipping in the U.S.* In *Growth and Change* 41(4), pp. 520–539.
- Kanngieser, Anja (2013): *Tracking and tracing: geographies of logistical governance and labouring bodies*. In *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 31(4), pp. 594–610.

- Martin, Craig (2013): Shipping container mobilities, seamless compatibility, and the global surface of logistical integration. In *Environment and Planning A* 45(5), pp. 1021–1036.
- Mezzadra, Sandro and Brett Neilson (2013): Extraction, logistics, finance. Global crisis and the politics of operations. In *Radical Philosophy*(178), pp. 8–18.
- Neilson, Brett (2012): Five theses on understanding logistics as power. In *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 13(3), pp. 322–339.
- Notteboom, Theo and Jean-Paul Rodrigue (2008): Containerisation, Box Logistics and Global Supply Chains: The Integration of Ports and Liner Shipping Networks. In *Maritime Economics & Logistics* 10(1-2), pp. 152–174.
- Parker, Martin (2013): Containerisation: Moving Things and Boxing Ideas. In *Mobilities* 8(3), pp. 368–387.
- Sekula, Allan (1995): *Fish story*. Düsseldorf: Richter.
- Waldheim, Charles and Alan Berger (2008): Logistics Landscape. In *Landscape Journal* 27(2), pp. 219–246.

## **RACE RIOT OR URBAN REBELLION? THE UPRISINGS IN 1965 AND 1992.**

Die Aufstände mit ihren Zentren in Watts (1965) und South Central (1992) haben sich in die Erinnerung der Stadt eingebrannt und sind bis heute wichtiger Referenzpunkt für jede Debatte über race relations. Ihre geschichtlichen Abläufe sowie ihre aktuelle Relevanz sind untrennbar mit Polizeigewalt, Justiz, und Segregation verbunden.

### **Einstieg:**

- Sides, Josh (2012): „20 Years Later: Los Angeles After the 1992 Riots“. <https://placesjournal.org/article/20-years-later-legacies-of-the-los-angeles-riots/> (2014-11-25).
- Platkin, Dick (2012): „The Los Angeles 1992 Civil Disturbance: A Race Riot or an Urban Rebellion?“. *Progressive Planning* 192: 10–14.

### **Weiterführende Literatur:**

- Bergesen, Albert und Max Herman (1998): „Immigration, Race, and Riot: The 1992 Los Angeles Uprising“. *American Sociological Review* 63(1): 39–54.
- Cannon, Lou (1999), *Official Negligence. How Rodney King and the Riots Changed Los Angeles and the LAPD*. Boulder (Westview Press).
- Davis, Mike (1998), *Ecology of Fear. Los Angeles and the Imagination of Disaster*. New York (Metropolitan Books).
- Ikemoto, Lisa C. (1993): „Traces of the Master Narrative in the Story of African American/Korean American Conflict: How We Constructed Los Angeles“. *California Law Review* 66: 1581–1598.
- Matei, Sorin A. und Sandra Ball-Rokeach (2005): „Watts, the 1965 Los Angeles Riots, and the Communicative Construction of the Fear Epicenter of Los Angeles“. *Communication Monographs* 72(3): 301–323.
- Pastor, Manuel, Jr. (2001): „Common Ground at Ground Zero?: The New Economy and the New Organizing in Los Angeles“. *Antipode* 33 (2): 260–289.
- Platkin, Dick (2012): „The Los Angeles 1992 Civil Disturbance: A Race Riot or an Urban Rebellion?“. *Progressive Planning* 192: 10–14.
- Sonenshein, Raphael (1993), *Politics in Black and White. Race and Power in Los Angeles*. Princeton (Princeton University Press).
- The Staff of the Los Angeles Times (1992), *Understanding the riots. Los Angeles Before and After the Rodney King Case*. Los Angeles (Los Angeles Times).

Tervalon, Jervey und Cristián A. Sierra (2002), *Geography of Rage. Remembering the Los Angeles Riots of 1992*. Los Angeles (Really Great Books).

## **URBAN AGRICULTURE/COMMUNITY GARDENING: NEOLIBERAL GOVERNANCE, DIVERSITY AND SUBSISTENCE**

Ansatzpunkt: South Central Farm und

[www.southcentralfarmers.com/](http://www.southcentralfarmers.com/)

<http://www.thegardenmovie.com/>

[http://www.ted.com/talks/ron\\_finley\\_a\\_guerilla\\_gardener\\_in\\_south\\_central\\_la](http://www.ted.com/talks/ron_finley_a_guerilla_gardener_in_south_central_la)

Lebuhn

Marit

Barraclough, L. 2009. South Central Farmers and Shadow Hills Homeowners. *Land Use Policy and Relational Racialization in Los Angeles*. In: *Professional Geographer*, 61:2, S. 164-186.

Sides, Josh. 2013. *Post-Ghetto: Reimagining South Los Angeles*. Berkeley : San Marino, Calif (Univ of California Pr).

## **THE NEW CULTURE OF ORGANIZING. UNIONIZATION OF CARWASH WORKERS AND JUSTICE FOR JANITORS**

<http://www.cleancarwashcampaign.org/>

<http://www.cleancarwashcampaign.org/history-made-la-becomes-first-city-with-union-car-washes/>

[1983 startete in Los Angeles die »Justice for Janitors« campaign; 2014 wurde LA zur ersten Stadt in den USA mit einer CarWash Gewerkschaft – in beiden Fällen überlagert sich der Kampf um Arbeitsrechte mit Migration und Immigrationsgesetzgebung]

## **AUSTERITY URBANISM AND CALIFORNIAN MUNICIPIAL BANCROPTCY**

Stockton, Mammoth Lake and San Bernardino were among the first Cities in California to file for bankruptcy. What happened to Vernon, Compton, Azusa and Monrovia, small cities in the LA metropolitan area? How is the situation today?

<http://money.cnn.com/2012/07/12/news/economy/california-bankruptcies/>

<http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/05/15/california-city-bankruptcies/2076197/>

[What is austerity? What are the politics, rationales and dynamics of urban austerity?]

Peck, Jamie. 2012. Austerity urbanism: American cities under extreme economy. *City* 16(6): 626-655

## **(SKATING &) SURFING LA AND THE MARITIME GEOGRAPHY**

Sonne und Meer sind die Grundelemente des kalifornischen Traums. Warum sich der Stadt also nur vom Land aus nähern? Post-terrestrische Geographien rücken andere Raum/Zeitlichkeiten in den Vordergrund. Alles fließt im Rhythmus der Welle, und der Pazifik reicht bis nach Guangzhou. Wer es sich leisten kann, surft mit.

### **Literatur:**

- Ford, Nick und David Brown (2006): *Surfing and Social Theory: Experience, Embodiment and Narrative of the Dream Glide*. London/New York (Routledge).
- Jay, Stephen (2012) *Marine Space: Manoeuvring Towards a Relational Understanding*. In: *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 14:1, S. 81-96.
- Anderson, Jon (2012): *Relational places: the surfed wave as assemblage and convergence*. In: *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 30:4, S. 570-587.
- Jones, Owain (2011): *Lunar – solar rhythm patterns: towards the material cultures of tides*. In: *Environment and Planning A*, 43:10, S. 2285-2303.
- Sutherland, T. (2013): *Liquid Networks and the Metaphysics of Flux: Ontologies of Flow in an Age of Speed and Mobility*. In: *Theory, Culture & Society*, 30:5, S. 3-23.
- Steinberg, Philip E. (2013): *Of other seas: metaphors and materialities in maritime regions*. In: *Atlantic Studies*, 10:2, S. 156-169.
- Waitt, Gordon (2008): *„Killing Waves’: Surfing, Space and Gender“*. *Social & Cultural Geography* 9(1): 75–94.
- Woolley, Helen und Ralph Johns (2001): *„Skateboarding: The City as a Playground“*. *Journal of Urban Design* 6(2): 211–230.

## **BORDER ZONE AND MAQUILADORAS**

Berndt, Christian (2012): *„Maquiladora“*. In: N. Marquardt, V. Schreiber (Hrsg.), *Ortsregister. Ein Glossar zu Räumen der Gegenwart*. Transcript (Bielefeld): 185–191.

Sklair etc.

## **APPROACHING LA - LITERATURE**

a first selection ... to be continued ... please add

# LITERATURE

## URBAN THEORY – LOCATING THE GLOBAL

*Aim: Post-Post-modernising LA, locating LA's present in the future: Infrastructural City, Splintering Urbanism, Mobile Urbanism, Worlding Cities, Ordinary Cities, Urban Assemblages, Planetary Urbanization, Global Suburbanism, Austerity Urbanism etc.*

a first selection ...to be continued ... please add

Brenner N (2014) *Implosions / Explosions: Towards a Study of Planetary Urbanization* (Jovis, 2014)

Brenner N and Schmid C (2011) Planetary urbanisation. In: Gandy M (ed.) *Urban Constellations*. Berlin: Jovis, pp.10–13.

Gandy, Matthew (2005) “Cyborg urbanization: complexity and monstrosity in the contemporary city,” *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 29, 1, 2005, 26-49.

Graham, Stephen und Simon Marvin. 2001. *Splintering Urbanism. Networked Infrastructures, Technological Mobilities and the Urban Condition*. London/New York (Routledge).

Mbembe A and Nuttall S. (2004) *Writing the World from an African Metropolis*. *Public Culture* 16(3): 347–372.

McCann E and Ward K (2010) *Relationality/territoriality: Toward a conceptualization of cities in the world*. *Geoforum* 41(2): 175–184.

McCann E and Ward K (eds) (2011) *Mobile Urbanism: Cities and Policy Making in a Global Age*. Minneapolis, MN: Minnesota University Press.

McFarlane C (2011) *Assemblage and critical urbanism*. *City* 15(2): 204–224.

McFarlane, Colin. 2011. *The city as assemblage: dwelling and urban space*. In: *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 29:4, S. 649-671.

Ong A (2011) *Introduction: Worlding Cities or the Art of Being Global*. In: Roy A and Ong A *Worlding Cities*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, pp.1–26.

Peck J (2012) *Austerity urbanism: American cities under extreme economy*. *City* 16(6): 626-655

Robinson J (2002) *Global and world cities: a view from off the map,* *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* , 26, 3, 2002, 531-554.

Robinson J (2006) *Ordinary Cities: Between Modernity and Development*. London: Routledge

Roy, Ananya (2009) *The 21st century metropolis: new geographies of theory,* *Regional Studies* , 43, 6, 819 - 830.

Sassen, S. 2008: *Re-Assembling the Urban*. *Urban Geography* 29, 113-126.

und Globalization Approaches

Ong/Collier

Massey and relational Place

McFarlane, Colin. 2011. *The city as assemblage: dwelling and urban space*. In: *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 29:4, S. 649-671.

(hier interessiert das Konzept und die Art und Weise, wie man es in Feldforschung methodisch umsetzt; die konkreten empirischen Bezüge (Sao Paulo und Mumbai) sind weniger relevant).

## LOS ANGELES – CLASSIC TEXTS

Scott, Allen John und Edward W. Soja. 1996. *The city : Los Angeles and urban theory at the end of the twentieth century*. Berkeley (University of California Press).

Dear, Michael und Flusty, Steven (1998): Postmodern urbanism. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 88 (1), S. 50-72. [Teilübersetzung in Dear, Michael (2005): Die Los Angeles School of Urbanism. *Geographische Rundschau* 57:1, S. 30-36.]

Davis, Mike. 1990. *City of Quartz: Excavating the Future in Los Angeles* (Verso Books).

Soja, Edward. 1996. *Thirdspace: Journeys to Los Angeles and other real-and-imagined places*. Oxford.

Soja, Edward W. 2000. *Postmetropolis : critical studies of cities and regions*. Malden, MA (Blackwell Pub.).

McWilliams, Carey. 1946. *Southern California: An Island on the Land*. Santa Barbara Calif. (Gibbs Smith Pub).

Wagner, Anton. 1935. *Los Angeles : Werden, Leben und Gestalt der Zweimillionenstadt in Südkalifornien*. Kiel (Schriften des Geographischen Instituts der Universität Kiel 3).

Tarr, Alexander R. . 2012. Return to the City of Quartz: Excavating the Future 20 Years On. In: *Human Geography*, 5:3, S. 72-87.